Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 00830 070000Z

17

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 COME-00 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00

L-02 /029 W

----- 058146

R 062244Z MAR 75 FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5833

UNCLAS OTTAWA 0830

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: ETEL, CA

SUBJ: NEW COMMUNICATIONS REGULATORY BODY AND THE DELETION/SUBSTITUTION ISSUE IN CABLE TELEVISION

REF: OTTAWA 250 AND PREVIOUS

HOUSE OF COMMONS ON MARCH 4 GAVE SECOND READING TO BILL C-5 WHICH WOULD MERGE THE CANADIAN RADIO-TELEVISON COMMISSION (CRTC) AND THE TELECOMMUNICATION COMMITTEE OF THE CANADIAN TRANSPORT COMMISSION INTO NEW BODY, THE CANADIAN RADIO-TELEVISION AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WHICH WILL HAVE COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC REGULATORY RESPONSBIILITY IN COMMUNICTIONS (SEE OTTAWA A-591, NOV 18, 1974).

2. DELETION/SUBSTITUTION POLICY FOR CABLE TV WAS NOT REFERRED TO BUT, IN MOVING THE MEASURE, COMMUNICATIONS MINISTER PELLETIER MADE STRONG STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF BROADCASTING POLICY ENUNCIATED IN 1968 ACT, AND OF CRTC EFFORTS TO IMPLEMENT THAT POLICY ACROSS THE BOARD. HE SAID: QUOTE. HON. MEMBERS ARE ALSO AWARE THAT SINCE 1968 THE CANADIAN RADIO-TELEVISION COMMISSION HAS BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR ISSUING THE LICENCES FOR THE OPERATION OF BROADCASTING STATIONS, WHETHER THEY ARE PRIVATELY OWNED OR ARE PART OF THE CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION NETWORKS. THE COMMISSION, CONSISTING OF FIVE FULL TIME MEMBERS AND TEN PART TIME MEMBERS, IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 00830 070000Z

MORE PARTICULARLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION

OF THE BROADCASTING POLICY FOR CANADA ENUNCIATED IN SCTION 3 OF THE BOARDCASTING ACT. IT HAS TO TAKE THE NECESSARY STEPS TO ENSURE THAT BROADCASTING SHOULD SERVE TO SAFE-GUARDING (SIC) AND ENRICHING CANADIAN CULTURE IN ALL ITS ASPECTS. ANOTHER OBJECTIVE OF THE COMMISSION IS TO PROVIDE, BY MEANS OF BROADCASTING, TRANSMITTING AND RECEIVING STATIONS, HIGH QUALITY SERVICE IN BOTH OFFICIAL LANGUAGES. THIS GOVERNMENT IS DETERMINED TO UPHOLD THE BROADCASTING POLICY APPROVED BY PARLIAMENT IN 1968 AND WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT ALL THE EFFORTS OF THE REGULATORY AGENCY AND OTHERS CONCERNED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THAT POLICY. END QUOTE.

- 3. LATER HE ENDORSED THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PRESENT CRTC MEMBERSHIP AND ANNOUNCED THAT WHEN NEW LEGISLATION IS PUT INTO EFFECT ALL THE PRESENT MEMBERS OF CRTC WOULD BECOME MEMBERS OF THE NEW COMMISSION UNTIL THEIR RESPECTIVE TERMS OF OFFICE EXPIRE. (HE DID NOT SPECIFICALLY REFER TO CHAIRMAN PIERRE JUNEAU, BUT THERE IS A WIDESPREAD EXPECTATION THAT HE WILL HEAD THE NEW BODY IF HE WANTS THE JOB).
- 4. DEBATE WHICH LASTED SEVERAL HOURS WAS LIVELY AND BILL APPROPRIATELY REFERRED TO COMMITTEE. NO OPPOSITION SPEAKER BROUGHT UP THE DELETION/ SUBSTITUTION POLICY OR REFERRED PSEIIFICALLY TO FOREIGN BROADCASTING ALTHOUGH THERE WERE FREQUENT REFERENCES TO THE ROLE OF BROADCASTING AND COMMUNICATIONS IN FOSTERING CANADIAN NATIONALISM AND IDENTITY.
- 5. GENERAL FEDERAL/PROVINCIAL RELATIONS IN COMMUNICATIONS, THE QUEBEC INTEREST IN REGULATING BROADCASTING AND CABLE SYSTEMS, THE ADEUACY OF THE CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION, AND TELEPHONE RATES WERE THE MAIN ISSUES RAISED BY OPPOSITION SPEAKERS. INTERESTINGLY, THE QUEBEC CONSERVATIVE CLAUDE WAGNER, REFERRING TO THE FEDERAL/PROVINCIAL QUESTION AS IT AFFECTS QUEBEC, NOTED THE CRTC VIEW OF FEDERAL SUPREMACY AND CITED AGAINST THIS THE VIEW UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 00830 070000Z

OF GORDON HENDERSON (THE TRAIL ATTORNEY IN THE RECENT COURT CASES BRUGHT BY THE BUFFALO STATIONS) "THAT THE EXISTING BROADCASTING ACT DOES NOT BEAR A CLEAR MENTION OF THE FEDERAL JURISDICTION ON CABLEVISION." THIS PROVOKED MINISTER PELLETIER TO INCLUE THE FOLLOWING REJOINDER IN HIS FINAL STATEMENT BEFORE DEBATE WAS CLOSED: QUOTE. AND WHEN THE HON. MEMBER FOR SAINT-HYACINTHE PUT FORWARD THE

TESTIMONY OF MR. HENDERSON AGAINST THE FEDERAL JURISDICTION WITH RESPECT TO COMMUNICATIONS, I WONDER IF HE REALIZES THAT HIS SURCE WAS THE GREAT SUPPORTER OF AMERICAN INTERESTS VERSUS CANADIAN BROADCASTING IN A RECENT CASE BEFORE THE FEDERAL COURT. END QUOTE.

6. COMMENT: FULL TEXT OF MARCH 3 DEBATE BEING FORWARDED BY AIRGRAM. IN VIEW OF ABSENCE OF SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO DELETION/SUBSTITUTION ISSUE BY MINISTER WE WILL BE INQUIRING INTO PRESENT STATE OF GOC COMMITMENT TO CRTC ON THIS ISSUE. PORTER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: LITIGATION, CABLE TELEVISION, TELEVISION BROADCASTING

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 06 MAR 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Authority: n/a Disposition Case Number: n/a

Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Decument Number: 10750TTA

Document Number: 1975OTTAWA00830 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A Film Number: D750080-0002

From: OTTAWA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750376/aaaacqpa.tel Line Count: 127 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM Office: ACTION EB Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 75 OTTAWA 250 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 09 JUN 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <09 JUN 2003 by BalzMJ>; APPROVED <25 FEB 2004 by ShawDG>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: NEW COMMUNICATIONS REGULATORY BODY AND THE DELETION/SUBSTITUTION ISSUE IN CABLE TELEVISION

TAGS: ETEL, CA, US, CRTC To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006